Key Clinical Questions

What is the best method of treating acutely worsened chronic pain?

By Jeanie Youngwerth, MD

Case

A 69-year-old female with metastatic ovarian cancer and chronic pain syndrome presented to the hospital with seven days of progressively worsening abdominal pain. The pain had been similar to her chronic cancer pain but more severe. She has acute renal failure secondary to volume depletion from poor intake. A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis reveal progression of her cancer with acute pathology. What is the best method of treating this patient’s pain?

Overview

Pain is pandemic. It is the most common reason patients seek healthcare. Almost one-third of Americans will experience severe chronic pain at some point in their lives. Every year, approximately 25 million Americans experience acute pain and 50 million experience chronic pain. Only one in four patients with pain receives appropriate therapy and control of their pain.

Pain is the most common symptom experienced by hospitalized adults. Acute or chronic pain can be particularly challenging to treat because these patients are frequently opioid dependent and have many psychosocial factors. No one method of pain control is superior to another. However, one method to gain rapid control of an acute pain crisis in a patient with chronic pain is to use patient-controlled analgesia (PCA).

Review of the Data

The first commercially available PCA pumps became available in 1976. They were created after studies in the 1960s demonstrated that small doses of opioids given intravenously provided more effective pain relief than intramuscular injections. These studies on opioid-naïve patients showed that 1 mg IV morphine was the optimal starting dose. PCAs allow individualized dosing and titration to achieve the MEAC, with small incremental doses administered whenever the serum concentration falls below the MEAC. A major goal of PCA technology is to regulate drug delivery to rapidly achieve and maintain the MEAC.

Advantages of PCAs

- More individual dosing and titration of pain medications to account for inter-individual and intra-individual variability in the response to opioids; Negative feedback control system; an added safety measure to avoid respiratory depression. At patients become too sedated from opioids, they are no longer able to push the button to receive further opioids.
- Higher patient satisfaction with pain control, a major determinant being personal control over the delivery of pain relief. Greater analgesic efficacy vs. conventional analgesia.

Disadvantages of PCAs

- Select patient populations: Not all patients are able to understand and retain the required instructions necessary to safely or effectively use self-administered opioids (e.g., cognitively impaired patients).
- Potential for opioid dosing errors: These are related to equipment factors, medical personnel prescribing or programming errors.
- Increased cost: PCAs have been shown to be more expensive in comparison with intramuscular (IM) injections, the prior standard of care.
- PCA Prescribing:

The parameters programmed into the PCA machine include the basal rate, demand (or incremental) dose, lockout interval, nurse-initiated bolus dose, and choice of opioid.

Basal rate: The continuous infusion of opioid set as an hourly rate. Most studies that compare PCA use with and without basal rates (in postoperative patients) do not show improved pain relief or sleep with basal rates. Basal rates have been associated with increased risk of sedation and respiratory depression.

The routine use of basal rates is not recommended initially, unless a patient is opioid-tolerant (i.e., on chronic opioid therapy). For patients on chronic opioids, their 24-hour total opioid requirement is converted by equalan- gastic dosing to the basal rate. Steady state is not achieved for eight to 12 hours of continuous infusion; therefore, it is not recommended to change the basal rate more frequently than every eight hours.

Demand dose: The dose patients provide themselves by pushing the button. Studies on opioid-naïve patients using morphine PCAs have shown that 0.5 mg IV morphine was the optimal starting dose, based on good pain relief without respiratory depression. Lower doses, such as 0.5 mg IV morphine, are generally used in the elderly as opioid requirements are known to decrease with patient age.

For patients with a basal rate, the demand dose is often set at 50% to 100% of the basal rate. The demand dose is the parameter that should be titrated up for acute pain control. World Health Organization guidelines recommend increasing the dose by 25% to 50% for mild to moderate pain, and 50% to 100% for moderate to severe pain.

Lockout interval: Minimal allowable time between demand doses. This time is based on the time to peak effect of IV opioids and can vary from five to 15 minutes. The effects of varying lockout intervals—seven to 11 minutes for morphine and five to eight minutes for fentanyl—had no effect on pain levels or side effects. Ten minutes is a standard lockout interval.

Bolus dose: The nurse-initiated dose that may be given initially to achieve pain control and later to counteract incidental pain (e.g., that caused by movement). PCAs may obtain better acute pain relief and satisfaction than conventional analgesia without an increase in side effects.
by physical therapy, dressing changes, or radiology tests). A recommended dosage is equivalent to the basal rate or twice the demand dose.

**Choice of opioid:** Morphine is the standard opioid because of its familiarity, long years of study. Although inter-individual variability exists, there are no major differences in side effects among the different opioids. Renal and hepatic insufficiency can increase the effects of opioids. Morphine is especially troublesome in renal failure because it has an active metabolite—morphine-6-glucuronide—that can accumulate and increase the risk of sedation and respiratory depression.

**Other Concerns**

**PCA complications:** The most well-studied adverse effects of PCAs are nausea and respiratory depression. There is no difference between PCAs and conventional analgesia in rates of nausea or respiratory depression.22

Nausea is the most common side effect in postoperative patients on PCAs. Patients rapidly develop tolerance to nausea over a period of days. However, many clinicians are concerned about respiratory depression and the risk of death. The overall incidence of respiratory depression with PCAs is less than 1% (range from 0.1 to 0.8%), similar to conventional analgesia. However, the incidence is significantly higher when basal rates are used, rising to 1.1 to 5.9%. Other factors predisposing a patient to increased risk of respiratory depression are older age, obstructive sleep apnea, hypovolemia, renal failure, and the concurrent use of other sedating medications.18

Medication errors are also common. The overall incidence of medication mishaps with PCAs is 1.2%. More than 50% of these occur because of operator-related errors (e.g., improper loading, programming errors, and documentation errors). Equipment malfunction is the next most common error.18

Opioid equianalgesic dose conversions: The equianalgesic ratio is the ratio of the dose of two opioids versions: The equianalgesic dose ratio is well studied.17

**Back to the Case**

Opioids are the mainstay of pharmacological management of moderate-to-severe cancer pain. Evaluation of the patient reveals that her acute increase in pain is likely due to progression of her cancer. She had been taking morphine (sustained-release, 90 mg oral) twice daily for her pain and had been using approximately five doses per day of immediate-release oral morphine 20 mg for breakthrough pain. This is equivalent to a 24-hour opioid requirement of 280 mg oral morphine.

She should be started on a PCA for rapid pain control and titration. Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) is a better PCA choice than morphine because she has acute renal failure. The equianalgesic dose ratio of oral morphine to IV hydromorphone is approximately 30:1.5. The total 24-hour opioid dose of 280 mg oral morphine is equivalent to 14 mg IV hydromorphone (280mg morphine per day ÷ 30) x 1.5 = 14 mg.

After adjusting for 60% incomplete cross tolerance, the total 24-hour opioid dose is reduced to 8.4 mg IV hydromorphone (14 mg x 0.6 = 8.4 mg). This is approximately equivalent to 0.4 mg IV hydromorphone/hour (8.4 mg/24 hours), which is her initial basal rate. The demand dose should be set at 0.2 mg (50% of the basal rate) with a lockout interval of 10 minutes. Over a period of several days, the patient’s pain was controlled and her opioid requirements stabilized. She was placed on a basal rate of 1.4 mg/hour and a demand dose of 1 mg with a 10-minute lockout. Her total 24-hour opioid requirement was 44 mg of IV hydromorphone. As her renal function improved but did not completely normalize, oxycodone was chosen over morphine when converting her back to oral pain medications (less active renal metabolites). The equianalgesic dose ratio of oral oxycodone to IV hydromorphone is approximately 20:1.5. Her total 24-hour opioid dose of 44 mg IV hydromorphone is equivalent to 587 mg oral oxycodone (44 ÷ 1.5 = 29 mg), whereas adjusting for 60% incomplete cross tolerance, the total 24-hour opioid dose is reduced to 352 mg oral oxycodone or 180 mg of sustained-release oxycodone twice daily (352 mg x 2 = 180 mg).

For breakthrough pain she should receive 40 mg of immediate-release oxycodone every hour as needed (10% to 15% of the 24-hour opioid requirement).17
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**Table 1. Equianalgesic Opioid Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analgesic Opioid Analgesic</th>
<th>Parenteral-IV, IM, SQ (mg)</th>
<th>Oral (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphine Immediate Release</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine Controlled/ Sustained Release</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone Immediate Release</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone Controlled Release</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocodone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromorphone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X = Total 24-hour dose of current opioid (mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* = Total 24-hour dose of new opioid (mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Equianalgesic Opioid Table**

- **Analgesic Opioid Analgesic**: morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, fentanyl, hydrocodone, and morphine-controlled-release.
- **Parenteral-IV, IM, SQ (mg)**: total 24-hour dose of current opioid (mg).
- **Oral (mg)**: total 24-hour dose of new opioid (mg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equianalgesic Conversion Equation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current opioid (single conversion dose/route)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New opioid (single conversion dose/route)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Total 24-hour dose of current opioid (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Total 24-hour dose of new opioid (mg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How to Initiate and Titrate a PCA**

1. **Calculate basal rate (equianalgesic dose of current opioid):**
   - **Opioid naïve**: No basal rate; and
   - **Chronic opioid use**: Use equianalgesic dose of combined 24-hour chronic dose divided by 24 to get hourly rate.
   - **Incremental dose**: 50% to 100% of basal rate.
2. **Lockout time**: Eight to 10 minutes (use six-minute lockout only for fentanyl).
3. **Loading dose**: Twice the incremental dose.
4. **Can change incremental dose at least every 30 to 60 minutes (use for acute pain control; rapid titration):**
   - For mild to moderate pain: increase dose by 25% to 50%; and
   - For moderate to severe pain: increase dose by 50% to 100%.
5. **Can change basal rate every eight hours or greater (do not increase by more than 100% at a time):**
   - For complete cross tolerance, the total 24-hour opioid dose is reduced to 352 mg oral oxycodone or 180 mg of sustained-release oxycodone twice daily (352 mg x 2 = 180 mg).
   - For breakthrough pain she should receive 40 mg of immediate-release oxycodone every hour as needed (10% to 15% of the 24-hour opioid requirement).17